

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,415	07/06/2001	Grover M. Blackwell	2111.001	4993
7:	590 05/08/2002			
B. Craig Killough			EXAMINER	
Barnwell Whale Suite 300	ey Patterson & Helms, LL	HORTON, YVO	NNE MICHELE	
134 Meeting Street Charleston, SC 29401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2, 101		3635	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/900,415

Grover M. Blackwell et al.

Examiner

YVONNE M. HORTON

Art Unit **3635**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
aft	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be	considered timely.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
CO	mmunication.	
- Any r		statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	D	24
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 6, 200	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under ϵx pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) 🗀	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	
14) 🗆	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
,		energe and an expense of the section
Attachm		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
· —	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other:
ın □ m	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	zor outer.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,415 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2,4,6,8,10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites a first and a second plane. However this recitation is vague in that it is not clear what the plane is referring (i.e. a plane of what?). Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1,3,5,7,9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent #5,617,683 to NEY. NEY discloses the use of a window covering (10) including a first and second plane of material (28) having a polycarbonate core (26) disposed therebetween, column 2, line 56; at least one stile (22) disposed in contact with both the first and second

Application/Control Number: 09/900,415 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

materials (28); and a hinge (14). Regarding claims 3,7,9 and 11, the stile has substantially the same length as the first plane of material (28) and the first plane of material is a screen that is inherently known to have a non-uniform thickness. In reference to claim 5, the first plane of material (28) is attached to the core (26) by an adhesive (30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 2,4,6,8,10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #5,617,683 to NEY. NEY discloses the use of a window covering (10) including a first and second plane of material (28) having a polycarbonate core (26) disposed therebetween, column 2, line 56; at least one stile (22) disposed in contact with both the first and second

Application/Control Number: 09/900,415 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

materials (28); and a hinge (14). NEY discloses the basic claimed invention except for explicitly stating that the first and second plane of material is PVC. NEY does disclose a plastic material; however, NEY is silent with respect to the type of plastic material. Although NEY does not disclose plastic, PVC is well known in the art as a plastic material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the use intended as an obvious matter of design choice. In areas where long term durability is a factor, it would have been within the general skill of a worker to form the covering out of plastic. In other areas, especially where durability is not important, other materials may be suitable. Regarding claims 4,8,10 and 12, the stile has substantially the same length as the first plane of material (28) and the first plane of material is a screen that is inherently known to have a non-uniform thickness. In reference to claim 6, the first plane of material (28) is

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909.

Yvonne M. Horton Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635 May 6, 2002

attached to the core (26) by an adhesive (30).